# ALLENDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT 3249 Allendale-Fairfax Hwy Fairfax, SC 29827 PK-12 GRADES 1.815 Students ENROLLMENT Paula L. Harris 803-584-4603 SUPERINTENDENT BOARD CHAIR Artheen Hoover 803-584-3366 FISCAL AUTHORITY District Board/Referendum THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2003 ANNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: UNSATISFACTORY Absolute Ratings of Districts with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: N/A SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

#### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	N/A

#### PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

## **Definition of Critical Terms**

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM										
		Our District			Districts with Students Like Ours					
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003				
Passed all 3 subtests	56.7	37.1	39.0	50.1	44.6	44.8				
Passed 2 subtests	21.7	24.2	26.8	19.9	24.9	23.8				
Passed 1 subtest	15.0	18.9	19.5	16.6	15.5	19.1				
Passed no subtests	6.7	19.7	13.8	13.4	15.0	11.2				

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIPS									
Percent of	Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours							
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	4.3	2.5							
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	21.4	4.4							
Seniors who met the grade point average	21.4	30.9							

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Allendale School District 301999

### PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP

Not disabled

Non-migrant

Full-pay meals

Migrant Status Migrant

**English Proficiency** Limited English proficient

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Disabled

PACT PERFORMANCI	E BY GR							/ <b>\</b>
	,	RENT TESTING	/ & /	alon Basic	/.c. /	Proficient	Advanced North	isentand Advanced
	olly	Jes 162	lested old	OWL	Basic of	Profile	PGASI, JOH	cik yanu
	Ento	94 0/0	0/08	9/ 9/	, / 0/4	0/0	0/0/	K 5
			Er	nglish/Lar	iguage A	rts		
All students	851	99.3	57.1	36.3	6.6		6.6	17.6
Gender								
Male	409	98.8	61.8	33.6	4.6		4.6	17.6
emale	442	99.8	52.9	38.7	8.3		8.3	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	32	100.0	30.8	42.3	26.9		26.9	17.6
African-American	804	99.3	58.3	35.8	5.8		5.8	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	100.0						17.6
Hispanic	12	100.0	50.0	50.0				17.6
American Indian/Alaskan		0.0						17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	658	99.2	53.5	38.0	8.4		8.4	17.6
Disabled	193	99.5	68.9	30.6	0.5		0.5	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant		0.0						17.6
Non-migrant	851	99.3	57.1	36.3	6.6		6.6	17.6
English Proficiency	001							
imited English proficient	4	100.0						17.6
Non-limited English proficient	847	99.3	57.3	36.0	6.7		6.7	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	011	00.0	01.0	00.0	0.1		0.1	17.0
Subsidized meals	787	99.2	59.1	35.1	5.8		5.8	17.6
full-pay meals	63	100.0	32.7	50.9	16.4		16.4	17.6
	1 00	100.0	02.1	00.0	10.4	1	10.4	17.0
				Mathe	matics			
All students	851	100.0	49.5	42.6	6.2	1.8	7.9	15.5
Gender	001							
Male	409	100.0	53.2	40.0	4.9	1.9	6.8	15.5
emale	442	100.0	46.1	44.9	7.3	1.7	9.0	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	442	100.0	70.1	<del></del>	1.5	1.7	3.0	10.0
Vhite	32	100.0	14.8	55.6	22.2	7.4	29.6	15.5
African-American	804	100.0	50.9	42.2	5.3	1.6	6.9	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	100.0	00.0	72.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	15.5
Hispanic	12	100.0	41.7	41.7	16.7		16.7	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	12	0.0	41.7	41.7	10.7		10.7	15.5
Disability Status		0.0						15.5
Interest last							40.4	4

## Abbreviations for Missing Data

44.2

66.7

49.2

49.5

50.6

32.7

45.4

33.3

42.8

42.5

42.6

43.6

8.0

6.2

6.2

5.3

18.2

2.3

1.8

1.8

1.5

5.5

10.4

8.0

8.1

6.8

23.6

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

15.5

658

193

851

847

787

63

4

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

100.0

0.0

Grade 8

128

99.2

#### PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

trudhent te ding olo Profesent and olo Belom Basic olo Proficient olo Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 146 14.8 40.1 45.1 14.8 Grade 4 146 52.8 41.5 5.6 5.6 4.4 Grade 5 161 57.6 38.0 4.4 Grade 6 121 46.7 41.7 10.8 8.0 11.7 Grade 7 121 48.3 46.7 5.0 5.0 151 52.7 41.8 4.8 0.7 5.5 Grade 8 Grade 3 142 98.6 40.8 47.2 12.0 12.0 Grade 4 144 100.0 44.8 46.3 9.0 9.0 Grade 5 141 98.6 70.9 26.0 3.1 3.1 Grade 6 170 99.4 68.4 24.5 7.1 7.1 126 100.0 52.6 42.2 5.2 5.2 Grade 7

63.3

34.2

2.5

2.5

				M	athematic	cs		
	Grade 3	146		45.8	42.3	12.0		12.0
	Grade 4	146		57.7	32.4	7.7	2.1	9.9
2002	Grade 5	161		69.0	25.9	4.4	0.6	5.1
2	Grade 6	121		49.2	38.3	9.2	3.3	12.5
	Grade 7	121		59.2	36.7	4.2		4.2
•	Grade 8	151		70.3	25.7	3.4	0.7	4.1
	Grade 3	142	100.0	40.2	53.5	4.7	1.6	6.3
	Grade 4	144	100.0	42.5	46.3	8.2	3.0	11.2
2003	Grade 5	141	100.0	57.1	38.1	4.8		4.8
2	Grade 6	170	100.0	53.5	35.5	10.3	0.6	11.0
	Grade 7	126	100.0	46.2	41.0	6.8	6.0	12.8
-	Grade 8	128	100.0	57.0	42.1	0.8		0.8

## STATE PERFORMANCE ON NATIONAL TESTS

Terra Nova: a national, norm-referenced achievement test.

Percentage of students scoring in the upper half, 2002										
	Rea	ding	Lang	uage	Ma	ath	Total			
Grade	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation		
3	49.2	50.0	51.5	50.0	58.2	50.0	54.8	50.0		
6	57.6	50.0	49.0	50.0	51.2	50.0	51.4	50.0		
9*	56.1	50.0	46.8	50.0	51.6	50.0	51.2	50.0		

<sup>\*</sup> Grade 9 estimates were based on a sample that may not be representative of the entire 9th grade population.

National Assessment of Educational Progress: a national, criterion-referenced achievement test.

			Percent of students scoring							
			Advanced		Proficient		Basic		<b>Below Basic</b>	
Test	Grade	Year	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation
Reading	8	2002	1	3	23	30	44	43	32	25
Writing	4	2002	1	2	16	26	65	58	18	14
Mathematics	8	2000	2	5	15	22	37	38	45	34

## PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003		Eligibility Schola	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
All Students	53	83.0%	70	4.3%	101	51.5%	
Gender							
Male	21	81.0%	33	0.0%	47	51.1%	
Female	32	84.4%	37	8.1%	54	51.9%	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	46	80.4%	68	2.9%	97	51.5%	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
White	6	100.0%	2	I/S	3	I/S	
Other	1	I/S	0	N/A	1	I/S	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	1	I/S	2	I/S	4	I/S	
Students without disabilities	52	82.7%	68	4.4%	0	52.6%	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	27	81.5%	70	4.3%	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-LEP	53	83.0%	70	4.3%	100	52.0%	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	42	83.3%	54	0.0%	68	76.5%	
Full-pay meals	11	81.8%	16	18.8%	33	0.0%	

<sup>\*</sup> Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

## 2002-2003 College Admissions Tests

SAT	Verbal		Ma	ath	Total		
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	
District	424	397	432	401	856	798	
State	488	493	493	496	981	989	
Nation	504	507	516	519	1020	1026	

ACT	English		Math		Rea	Reading		Science		Total	
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	
District	17.8	14.7	16.7	16.1	16.1	17.3	17.0	18.0	17.1	16.7	
State	18.8	18.7	19.1	19.0	19.3	19.4	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	
Nation	20.2	20.3	20.6	20.6	21.1	21.2	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	

## SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

2002	2003

Allendale Elementary Yes Yes

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

Our District	Change from Last Year		
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
			4.0%
96.1% N/A	N/A	94.7% N/A	95.4% N/A
3.8% N/A	Down from 6.6% N/A	5.2% N/A	10.7% N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
9.9%	Down from 10.0%	10.6%	10.6%
7.5% 7.3%	Down from 9.4% Up from 1.2%	8.7% 4.6%	5.5% 1.6%
0.0%	N/A	N/A	10.0%
			N/A
	•		186
38	Up from 22	9	40
34.0% 69.3%	Down from 34.4% Up from 68.7%	39.3% 75.2%	47.8% 82.8%
N/A 71.7%	N/A Down from 72.6%	N/A 79.7%	N/A 89.5%
94.4% \$35,820	Down from 96.9% Down 0.9%	94.5% \$37,354	95.1% \$39,707
7.3 days	Up from 6.2 days	10.7 days	11.3 days
1.5 15.3 to 1	Up from 0.5 Down from 16.7 to	3.0 1 17.8 to 1	3.0 20.6 to 1
88.2% \$10,404	Down from 89.8% Down 1.3%	86.7% \$8,903	89.0% \$7,412
48.0% Poor	No change Down from Good	49.9% Fair	56.0% Excellent
99.0% 4	No change No change	95.4% 4	96.1% 8
0	No change No change	0	0
4.0% 39	Down from 9.3% N/A	12.1% 39	3.5% 26
2	N/A	2	8
			State
v conoole	N.	I/A	N/A
y schools	,	1// (	14// (
	4.1% 96.1% N/A 3.8% N/A N/A 9.9% 7.5% 7.3% 0.0% N/A 167 38 34.0% 69.3% N/A 71.7% 94.4% \$35,820 7.3 days  1.5 15.3 to 1 88.2% \$10,404 48.0% Poor 99.0% 4 0 0 4.0% 39	N/A N/A  4.1% Down from 10.1%  96.1% Up from 95.2%  N/A N/A  3.8% Down from 6.6%  N/A N/A  9.9% Down from 10.0%  7.5% Down from 9.4%  7.3% Up from 1.2%  0.0% N/A  N/A N/A  167 Up from 76  38 Up from 22  34.0% Down from 34.4%  69.3% Up from 68.7%  N/A N/A  71.7% Down from 72.6%  94.4% Down from 96.9%  \$35,820 Down 0.9%  7.3 days Up from 6.2 days  1.5 Up from 6.2 days  1.5 Up from 6.7 to  88.2% Down from 16.7 to  88.2% Down from 89.8%  \$10,404 Down 1.3%  48.0% No change  Poor Down from Good  99.0% No change  4 No change  0 No change  0 No change  1.0% Down from 9.3%  39 N/A  2 N/A	N/A N/A N/A N/A  4.1% Down from 10.1% 4.7% 96.1% Up from 95.2% 94.7% N/A N/A N/A N/A  3.8% Down from 6.6% 5.2% N/A N/A N/A N/A  N/A N/A N/A N/A  9.9% Down from 10.0% 10.6% 7.5% Down from 9.4% 8.7% 7.3% Up from 1.2% 4.6% 0.0% N/A N/A N/A  N/A N/A N/A  167 Up from 76 73  38 Up from 22 9  34.0% Down from 34.4% 39.3% 69.3% Up from 68.7% 75.2% N/A N/A N/A  71.7% Down from 96.9% 94.5% \$35,820 Down 0.9% \$37,354 7.3 days Up from 6.2 days 10.7 days  1.5 Up from 0.5 3.0 15.3 to 1 Down from 16.7 to 1 17.8 to 1 88.2% Down from 89.8% 86.7% \$10,404 Down 1.3% \$8,903  48.0% No change 49.9% Poor Down from Good Fair 99.0% No change 95.4% 4 No change 0 No change 12.1%

N/R Not Reported

I/S Insufficient Sample

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not Collected

Allendale School District

301999

#### SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

#### **Board Membership**

5 trustees elected to single-member seats

Fiscal Authority

District Board/Referendum

Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 15.0 per board member

Percent new trustees completing orientation N/A

#### DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

Allendale County School District is continuing to make strides toward providing a quality education for the children of Allendale County. This report card will clearly show the areas of our improvement as well as the areas that require additional attention. The District is relying heavily on data to make informed decisions about instructional programs, personnel and recourses. We take pride in the accomplishments of our children and staff. Here is a list of a few such accomplishments.

Fairfax Elementary School received the Palmetto Silver Award.

An award winning High School Debate Team

Distinguished JROTC Program

Outstanding Fine Arts Program

Montessori Program serving three to five year old children

Little New Steps Program serving infants through three year old children

Nationally recognized Adult Education Program

Last year we improved the facility for Little New Steps. This year we will be doing extensive renovations at Allendale-Fairfax Middle School. All seventh and eighth grade students will be housed at the Allendale-Fairfax High School in the wing that served as the ninth grade academy last year. We are excited by all of the possibilities for next year and appreciate all of the support we have received from the community.

If you have any comments or questions about this report card, please send them to Paula Harris, P.O. Box 458, Allendale, SC 29810.

#### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
   Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the
- 2010 SC Performance Goal

  Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal